

Demographic shift disproportionately increases cancer burden in an aging nation: current and expected incidence and mortality in Hungary up to 2030

Otília Menyhárt^{1,2}

János T Fekete²

Balázs Győrffy^{1,2}

¹MTA TTK Lendület Cancer Biomarker Research Group, Institute of Enzymology, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary; ²2nd Department of Pediatrics, Semmelweis University, Budapest, Hungary

Background: Population aging is a common demographic pattern in developed countries, and aging increases the risk of cancer. The disproportionately high cancer burden, as a consequence, is especially pronounced in Central and Eastern European countries, including Hungary.

Methods: We summarized current and projected future cancer incidences and mortalities utilizing data from the last two decades. Predictions are based on cancer incidence and mortality collected between 1996 and 2015 in Hungary. In addition to the crude rates, data were age standardized to the European standard population (ESP) of 2013, ESP of 1976, and local census of 2011.

Results: The lifetime probability of developing cancer and cancer-related mortality has already reached 56.9% and 27.6% in men, respectively, and 51.9% and 21.7% in women. Between 2016 and 2030, the total population is expected to shrink by 6%, while the number of 60-year olds and above will grow by 18%. This will lead to a 35% increase in cancer incidence and 30% increase in cancer death among 65–85-year olds. Joinpoint regression identified the period 2007–2015 as starting point for this coming increase in new cases. In women, lung and breast cancer will increase yearly by 1.9% and 1.7%, respectively, between 2016 and 2030, while in men, the prostate and colorectal cancer rates will increase yearly by 3.6% and 2.1%.

Conclusion: In the aging population of Hungary, cancer incidence will increase considerably over previous projections. Although a large portion of the most rapidly rising cancers are avoidable by implementing public health programs, a substantial portion remains inevitably incurable.

Keywords: cancer, biostatistics, aging, lung cancer, colon cancer, breast cancer, prostate cancer

Introduction

Projecting in advance the incidence and mortality of diseases is crucial to identify major focal points for research and for preventive health programs, and it helps to limit shortfalls in diagnostic procedures and interventions. Resources need to be prioritized for funding and for improvements in quality of life. These considerations are especially important for countries with high cancer rates and with rapid, ongoing population transformations.

Population aging is a common demographic pattern across most developed countries. With an average fertility of 1.6 children per woman and a life expectancy of 77 years, Europe has the highest proportion of population aged 60 years or over (24%). The total number of inhabitants is projected to be below the 2015 level in 2050.¹ Inhabited

Correspondence: Balázs Győrffy
Lendület Cancer Biomarker Research Group, MTA TTK, Institute of Enzymology, Magyar tudósok körútja 2, H-1117, Budapest, Hungary
Tel +36 30 514 2822
Email gyorffy.balazs@ttk.mta.hu

by only 10% of the total world population, Europe is overwhelmed by 24.4% of the global cancer incidence and 21.5% of cancer-related mortality.² The majority of Central, Eastern, and Southern Europe, with fertility rates at or below 1.5, is experiencing an even more accelerated demographic change.

The epidemiological cancer burden of Hungary is among the highest in Europe,³ including the highest cancer-related overall mortality in men (estimated age-standardized rates [ASRs]: 306.3 in Hungary vs. 222.5 in Europe) and the third highest mortality among women (163.6 vs. 128.8 in Europe). Among 40 European countries, the incidence of lung and bronchus cancer (LC), colon and rectum cancer (CRC), and oral cavity-pharynx cancers is highest in Hungary among both males and females.^{1,3} Neighboring countries, such as the Czech Republic, Slovenia, Slovakia, Croatia, and Serbia, experience cancer incidences comparable to Hungary.^{3,4} At the same time, Hungary has a traditionally high autopsy rate of 37% compared to only 15% for other European Union (EU) members according to the WHO European Health Information Gateway (or to less than 1% in the UK).⁵ The National Cancer Registry covers 100% of the population – hospitals are required by law to report cancer-related data to the National Cancer Registry quarterly [24/1999. (VII. 6.) EüM] (<https://uj.jogtar.hu/#doc/db/1/id/99900024.EUM/>), followed by data verification performed by the Registry, which usually takes up to 3 years. The combination of outstandingly reliable medical data in an aging country with high cancer burden makes Hungary a good model to predict trends in cancer epidemiology for countries with similar predicaments. Our model offers extrapolations, especially for the Central and Eastern European regions, where demography and cancer burden are similar across countries.³

Comparing cancer incidence and mortality patterns across countries or over time within a population requires standardization. The ASR is a weighted mean of age specific rates. The weights are taken from a standard population (World Standard Population or European Standard Population [ESP]),⁶ frequently expressed per 100,000 person-years. While ASR approximates the population's risk of being diagnosed with cancer, it does not incorporate the effect of demographic change on cancer burden and does not provide clear projections of the local patterns within a given country.

Current fertility rates are projected to shift the age distribution further in developed countries. Thus, cancer incidence rates are expected to markedly increase. Here, our aim is to use epidemiologic data available in Hungary to provide straightforward, site-specific predictions for all major cancers, serving as a reference for countries struggling

with similar rapid demographic changes coupled with high cancer rates. To assess the impact of changes in the population age structure and current risk trends, we utilize data of the National Cancer Registry and the Central Statistical Office of Hungary to project future cancer incidence and mortality for major cancer sites for 2020, 2025, and 2030 based on incidence and mortality data collected between 1996 and 2015.

Methods

Source of data

Population-based incidence data for the period between 2001 and 2014 were collected by the National Cancer Registry of Hungary. Mortality data for the time frame between 1996 and 2015 were acquired from the Central Statistical Office of Hungary. The National Cancer Registry of Hungary was established in 2000 to replace former hospital-based data collection systems, and it remains in close contact with community hospitals to ensure the quality of the compiled data. Since 2001, the collected incidence data are publicly available. All cancer cases are classified according to the *International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems*, 10th revision.⁷

Demographic projections by age group and sex were based on data obtained from the Hungarian Demographic Research Institute. For mortality calculations and projections, data were tabulated into four 5-year periods (1996–2000, 2001–2005, 2006–2010, and 2011–2015) and three 1-year periods (2020, 2025, and 2030). For calculations and projections of cancer incidence, data were arranged into three 5-year periods (2001–2005, 2006–2010, and 2011–2015) and three 1-year periods (2020, 2025, and 2030). The incidence for 2011–2015 was incomplete because of the lag in data availability. The missing values were substituted with the mean of the two most recent years with complete data. In this study, only anonymized patient data were included and analyzed.

Age-standardization of incidence and mortality rates

Population metrics of the ESP were updated in 2013,⁶ based on 2010–2030 population projections. The ESP is the unweighted average of individual populations of EU-27 and European Free Trade Association (EFTA) countries in each 5-year age band. All incidence and mortality data were age standardized to the 2013 ESP and expressed per 100,000 people.⁶

As a consequence of this step, our main results cannot be directly compared with publications using the previous, 1976 ESP data. To overcome this limitation and enable comparison of different metrics, we listed the observed and estimated

cancer incidence in Hungary by site and sex as (1) crude rates, (2) ASR using the Hungarian population based on the 2011 Hungarian census, (3) ASR using the 1976 ESP, and (4) ASR using the 2013 ESP.

Statistical analysis

Cancer incidence and mortality were calculated in the R programming environment using the Nordpred package developed by the Cancer Registry of Norway.⁸ This is a modified age-period-cohort regression model,⁹ with incidence counts assumed to follow a Poisson distribution, based on 5-year age groups and 5-year calendar periods. Birth cohorts were constructed synthetically by subtracting age from period.¹⁰

The formula of the original age-period-cohort model is: $R_{ap} = \exp(A_a + D \cdot p + P_p + C_c)$, where R_{ap} is defined as the mortality and incidence rate in age group (a) and in calendar period (p); D is the common drift parameter, and the D of the first, second, and third periods was reduced by 0%, 25%, 50%, respectively; A_a is the age component of age group (a); P_p is defined as the nonlinear period component of period (p); and C_c is the nonlinear cohort component of cohort (c). To obtain better predictions, we used the power link function according to the recommendations proposed by Møller et al.⁷ The starting age group of the model was determined by considering the number of deaths or number of cases in each cell. If the number of cases was less than 10 in the age group, future rates were calculated using the average of the last 10 years.

Trends in observed and projected data using segmented line regression were calculated with joinpoint regression,¹¹ with up to four joinpoints allowed between 2001–2030 for incidence and 1996–2030 for mortality, following the previously published method.¹² The likelihood of being diagnosed with cancer and the probability of cancer death were computed with the DevCan software,¹³ according to the previous descriptions of the statistical model.^{14,15}

Results

Observed cancer cases and deaths, 2006–2015

In the past 10 years, a total of 712,785 new cancer cases were diagnosed in Hungary, 364,004 in men and 348,781 in women. This number corresponds to approximately 195 new cancer cases diagnosed per day. In men, LC, CRC, and prostate cancers accounted for 45% of all cases. Cancers of the oral cavity and pharynx accounted for 8% of all cases in men. In women, breast, CRC, and LC accounted for 46% of all cases diagnosed, breast cancer alone responsible for 21%.

In the same time period, 331,119 cancer-related deaths occurred in Hungary, and 33,781 more males died than females. This corresponds to approximately 92 cancer-related deaths per a day. In men, LC and CRC were the most lethal cancers, accounting for 45% of all cases. Oral cavity and pharynx cancers and prostate cancers each accounted for 7% of all cancer-related deaths. In females, LC, CRC, and breast cancers were responsible for 20%, 14%, and 14% of all deaths, respectively. The two most lethal cancers, LC and CRC, accounted for 40% of all cancer-related deaths in the entire population. The observed new cancer cases and deaths in 2006–2015 are summarized in Table 1.

Both the lifetime probability of developing cancer and cancer-related death rate were higher in men (56.9% and 27.6%) compared to women (51.9% and 21.7%). However, below 50 years of age, cancer risk was higher in females, probably due to the high burden of breast cancer, thyroid cancer, and cancers of the female reproductive organs. Based on the most recent available data, one out of every 10 women was diagnosed with breast cancer, and one in every 10 men with LC.

Demographic shift will boost age groups with high cancer incidence and mortality

Between 2016 and 2030, the Hungarian population is expected to shrink by 6% compared to 2015. The age distribution is forecasted to be heavily skewed (Figure 1) – the number of 60-year olds and above is estimated to grow roughly by 18%, while 12% fewer males and 14% fewer females will be among those aged less than 60 years. The number of those 30–34 years old is expected to be reduced by roughly 24% in men and 26% in women.

Cancer primarily affects older individuals. As a consequence, the decreasing proportion of younger generations will result in the marked decline of cohorts with low cancer burden. Accordingly, among the 65–85-year olds, ~35% more patients are projected to be diagnosed with cancer in 2016–2030 compared to 2001–2015 (844,000 vs. 541,000), and ~30% more cancer-related deaths are expected (399,000 vs. 303,000).

The gradually changing population composition will result in discordant trends between crude and ASRs of mortality and the incidence split by sex (Figure 2). In males, the ASR of the overall incidence culminated in 2011–2015, whereas it continues to gently rise in females. The ASR of overall mortality reveals similar directions: a decreasing trend in males and a slow but steady increase in females.

Table 1 Distribution of cancer incidence (A) and mortality (B). Incidence and mortality values represent the period 2006–2015

(A)		(B)	
Incidence		Mortality	
Males	Females	Males	Females
Lung and bronchus	19.3%	Breast	20.9%
Colorectal	15.0%	Lung and bronchus	30.5%
Prostate	11.0%	Colorectal	15.0%
Oral cavity and pharynx	7.5%	Lung and bronchus	12.3%
Bladder	5.8%	Oral cavity and pharynx	6.8%
Stomach	3.8%	Prostate	6.6%
Kidney	3.4%	Stomach	5.3%
Pancreas	3.3%	Pancreas	5.0%
Larynx	2.8%	Bladder	3.4%
Melanoma of skin	2.7%	Liver	2.8%
Brain	2.6%	Esophagus	2.7%
Leukemia	2.5%	Larynx	2.6%
Liver	2.5%	Leukemia	2.6%
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	2.2%	Kidney	2.4%
Esophagus	1.9%	Brain	1.7%
Soft tissue	1.8%	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	1.6%
Testis	1.6%	Brain	1.6%
Gallbladder and biliary tract	1.2%	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	1.6%
Bones and joints	1.0%	Gallbladder and biliary tract	1.2%
Thyroid	0.4%	Melanoma of skin	1.1%
Other	7.6%	Multiple myeloma	0.7%
All sites	100%	Testis	0.3%
		Bones and joints	0.1%
		Hodgkin lymphoma	0.1%
		Other	7.6%
		Bones and joints	0.1%
		Hodgkin lymphoma	0.1%
		Other	10.8%
		All sites	100%

The mean annual percentage increase in incidence is over 1.35%

The mean annual percentage change (APC) in crude rates of cancer incidence modeled by joinpoint regression predicts a 1.35% annual increase between 2001 and 2030 in male overall incidence and a 1.68% annual increase between 2013 and 2030 in female overall incidence ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 2). In males, the LC incidence rates are in a steady decline (Figure 3), yet the steep increase in the incidence of other major cancer types (e.g., prostate, bladder, kidney, pancreas, CRC) explains the overall increase in incidence for 2001–2014, with similar tendencies expected in the future (Table 2A). A numerical example of the age-period-cohort model in males illustrates the performed calculations in [Supplementary materials](#). In females, accelerated annual growth characterizes many of the less frequent cancer types, such as thyroid, liver, bladder, and kidney cancers and non-Hodgkin lymphoma, with rates projected to persist for 2015–2030 (Table 2A).

The annual percent change in crude overall mortality based on joinpoint regression analysis from 1996 through 2030 projects a 0.78% annual increase in the overall mortality

rate in males between 2015 and 2030 and a 1.47% annual increase between 2008 and 2030 for females ($p < 0.05$) (Figure 2). The inflated female trends are partly caused by the steep increase in LC-related mortality. In addition, the annual increase will be higher in several (e.g., pancreas, kidney) cancer-related mortalities for females compared to males (Table 2B).

Current and projected cancer incidence and mortality trends by site of origin

In males, the incidence of CRC, with its calculated 2.1% annual increase, is projected to surpass LC and is predicted to be the most common cancer by 2020 (Table 3). The incidence of prostate cancer, with an astounding 3.6% annual increase, is expected to achieve the top position alongside CRC (Table 3) in males 60 years and up (Table 4A). The incidence of prostate cancer is age dependent, but it is predicted to be among the five most common cancers in men as young as 40. Oral cavity/pharynx cancer along with kidney cancer will be frequent among 40–59-year-old males, as will bladder cancer among those over 60 years of age (Table 4A). The annual increases in pancreas, bladder, stomach, and kidney




Figure 1 Upcoming shift in population age distribution increases the number of new cancer cases.

Note: Population age distribution in female (A) and male (B), cancer incidence rates in female (C) and male (D), and mortality in women (E) and men (F).

cancer-related mortality are projected to continue in the future (Table 2B), and by 2030, besides LC and CRC, prostate, pancreas, bladder, and stomach cancers are predicted to be the most common causes of cancer-related deaths among men (Table 3).

Among those aged 20–39 years, the incidences of testicular cancer and melanoma are forecasted to precede CRC. Non-Hodgkin lymphoma and brain cancers will also be on the rise (Table 4A). Among the less common tumor types, the incidence of thyroid cancer (3.2% annually) is estimated to grow with the fastest pace (Table 2A).

LC-related mortality has already climaxed in males (Figure 3), yet it remains a top killer among those aged 40–79 years (Table 4B). Oral cavity and pharynx cancer-related mortality started a steep, uninterrupted decline in 2006–2015 (Table 2B), while it remains among the three

most common death-causing cancers among those aged 40–59 years (Table 4B).

In females, the incidences of breast cancer, CRC, and LC are projected to remain in the top positions until 2030 (Table 3). However, among those 20–39 years old, the incidences of melanoma, cervix uteri, and thyroid cancers are projected to surpass CRC and LC (Table 4A). The incidence of breast cancer is predicted to rise predominantly among those 40–59 years old. Pancreas cancer, with its estimated 3% annual increase, is estimated to reach 4% incidence by 2020, mainly affecting women past 60 years of age (Table 4A). Among less common cancer types, the increases in the incidences of thyroid, soft tissue, and liver cancers will be considerable (Table 2A). LC, CRC, breast cancer, and pancreas cancer are predicted to remain top causes of cancer-related death in females through 2030 (Table 3). The

Clinical Epidemiology downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 193.22.4.49.8 on 04-Sep-2018
For personal use only.




Figure 2 Standardized cancer rates remain steady while a positive gain in annual percent change (APC) leads to increasing crude rates.
Notes: Trends in cancer incidence (A) and mortality (B). Male and female Hungarian crude rates of incidence and mortality are compared to age-standardized rates based on the 2013 European Standard population (ESP 2013). Solid lines represent actual data while dashed lines express data estimated by the age-period-cohort model. Every x-value represents a 5-year bin. Mean annual percent change in crude rates of male and female incidence (C) and mortality (D) modeled by joinpoint regression analysis. Positive APC values represent increase, negative values decrease in mortality rates.
Abbreviation: n.s., not significant.

steep increase in mortality already present in 1996–2005 is expected to continue for bladder, pancreas, and ovarian cancers. Ovarian cancer will be among the top five death-causing cancers among women aged 40–79 years, surpassed by stomach cancer-related mortality among those aged 80+ years (Table 4B). Mortality and incidence trends are depicted as both crude rates and ASRs for each major cancer type in Figure 4A for women and Figure 4B for men.

Comparing different reference populations

To study cancer rates standardized to different reference populations, we compared cancer incidence and mortality by site (standardized to the 1976 ESP) with the 2012 estimates of overall European cancer incidence and mortality.³ Of the most rampant cancers, a 45% higher incidence rate of LC among males and 56% among females was observed in Hungary. For pancreas cancer, the incidence was 46% and 41% higher in

males and females, respectively, and for CRC the incidence was 41% higher among men and 36% among women. The incidence of gallbladder cancer among men and women was 65% and 58% higher, respectively. The incidence of cancers of the oral cavity and pharynx was 63% and 61% higher among males and females, respectively, than the estimated European average. Mortality from oral cavity/pharynx cancer and larynx cancer was 67% and 59% higher, respectively, than the European average among men. CRC-related death was 52% greater in men and 41% greater in women than the European average, and 42% versus 49.5% greater mortality was observed among males and females, respectively, as a result of LC. We list the observed and estimated cancer incidences in Hungary by site and sex in Table S1 as (1) crude rates, (2) ASRs using the Hungarian population based on the 2011 Hungarian census, (3) ASRs using the 1976 ESP, and (4) ASRs using the 2013 ESP. Mortality data with the same standardization parameters are listed in Table S2.




Figure 3 Trends in incidence (**A** and **B**) and mortality (**C** and **D**) in the five most common cancers by sex.
Notes: Solid lines represent crude rates, dashed lines represent rates age-adjusted to the 2013 ESP. For 2020, 2025, 2030 data are estimated by the age-period-cohort model.
Abbreviation: ESP, European Standard population.

Table 2 Mean annual percent change (APC) and 95% CI by sex in crude rate of incidence (A) and mortality (B) by site and time

(A) APC, incidence in men (lower CI-upper CI)			APC, incidence in women (lower CI-upper CI)		
Site	2001–2014	2015–2030	Site	2001–2014	2015–2030
Lung and bronchus	-0.7* (-0.9 to -0.5)	-0.7* (-0.9 to -0.5)	Breast	1.5 (-0.6 to 3.7)	1.9* (1.6 to 2.2)
Colorectal	2.1* (1.9 to 2.3)	2.1* (1.9 to 2.3)	Colorectal	1.3* (1.1 to 1.5)	1.3* (1.1 to 1.5)
Prostate	3.6* (3.1 to 4.1)	3.6* (3.1 to 4.1)	Lung and bronchus	1.7* (1.4 to 1.9)	1.7* (1.4 to 1.9)
Oral cavity and pharynx	-0.7* (-1.0 to -0.4)	-0.7* (-1.0 to -0.4)	Corpus uteri	2.1* (1.7 to 2.4)	2.1* (1.7 to 2.4)
Bladder	2.5* (2.2 to 2.9)	2.5* (2.2 to 2.9)	Cervix uteri	-0.8 (-2.8 to 1.2)	0.5 (-0.9 to 1.9)
Stomach	-0.2 (-0.6 to 0.1)	-0.2 (-0.6 to 0.1)	Ovary	1.4 (-0.3 to 3.2)	0.8* (0.3 to 1.3)
Kidney	2.4* (2.0 to 2.7)	2.4* (2.0 to 2.7)	Stomach	0.1 (-0.2 to 0.4)	0.1 (-0.2 to 0.4)
Pancreas	2.6* (2.2 to 2.9)	2.6* (2.2 to 2.9)	Pancreas	4.3* (3.2 to 5.4)	3.0* (2.5 to 3.4)
Larynx	-1.2* (-1.7 to -0.8)	-1.2* (-1.7 to -0.8)	Oral cavity and pharynx	2.7* (0.1 to 5.4)	1.5* (0.9 to 2.1)
Brain	0.8* (0.5 to 1.1)	0.8* (0.5 to 1.1)	Brain	0.7* (0.3 to 1.2)	0.7* (0.3 to 1.2)
Leukemia	2.3* (1.9 to 2.7)	2.3* (1.9 to 2.7)	Melanoma of skin	4.6* (1.5 to 7.9)	2.6* (2.2 to 3.3)
Melanoma of skin	6.0* (4.2 to 7.9)	3.1* (2.3 to 3.9)	Bladder	2.9* (2.5 to 3.3)	2.9* (2.5 to 3.3)
Liver	4.1* (2.7 to 5.4)	1.9* (1.3 to 2.6)	Leukemia	1.6* (1.2 to 2.0)	1.6* (1.2 to 2.0)
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	2.6* (2.2 to 3.0)	2.6* (2.2 to 3.0)	Kidney	2.3* (2.0 to 2.6)	2.3* (2.0 to 2.6)
Esophagus	-0.8* (-1.2 to -0.4)	-0.8* (-1.2 to -0.4)	Gallbladder and biliary tract	0.7* (0.7 to 1.2)	0.7* (0.7 to 1.2)
Testis	2.0* (1.1 to 2.8)	0.3 (-0.3 to -0.9)	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	4.2* (3.3 to 5.0)	2.6* (1.3 to 3.8)
Gallbladder and biliary tract	2.4* (1.9 to 3.0)	2.4* (1.9 to 3.0)	Liver	2.1* (1.6 to 2.6)	2.1* (1.6 to 2.6)
Soft tissue	5.9* (3.3 to 8.6)	2.3* (1.2 to 3.5)	Soft tissue	5.6* (2.7 to 8.7)	1.7* (0.6 to 2.8)
Bones and joints	0.1 (-0.6 to 0.7)	0.1 (-0.6 to 0.7)	Thyroid	4.2* (1.2 to 7.3)	2.5* (1.8 to 3.3)
Thyroid	3.2* (2.7 to 3.7)	3.2* (2.7 to 3.7)	Bones and joints	0.1 (-0.5 to 0.7)	0.1 (-0.5 to 0.7)

(Continued)

Clinical Epidemiology downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 193.22.4.49.8 on 04-Sep-2018 For personal use only.

Table 2 (Continued)

APC, mortality in men (lower CI–upper CI)		APC, mortality in women (lower CI–upper CI)				
Site	1996–2005	2006–2015	2016–2030	1996–2005	2006–2015	2016–2030
Pancreas	0.3 (–1.0 to 1.6)	1.9* (1.7 to 2.1)	1.9* (1.7 to 2.1)	2.1* (2.0 to 2.3)	2.1* (2.0 to 2.3)	2.1* (2.0 to 2.3)
Oral cavity and pharynx	1.0* (0.2 to 1.8)	–1.8* (–2.0 to –1.6)	–1.8* (–2.0 to –1.6)	3.4* (0.1 to 6.8)	1.2* (0.8 to 1.6)	1.2* (0.8 to 1.6)
Lung and bronchus	–0.1 (–0.2 to 0.0)	–0.1 (–0.2 to 0.0)	–0.1 (–0.2 to 0.0)	2.4* (1.7 to 3.1)	3.9* (2.9 to 5.0)	2.1* (1.8 to 2.4)
Colorectal	1.0* (0.7 to 1.2)	1.0* (0.7 to 1.2)	2.5* (1.6 to 3.4)	2.4* (1.7 to 3.1)	3.9* (2.9 to 5.0)	2.1* (1.8 to 2.4)
Stomach	–2.3* (–2.7 to –1.9)	–2.3* (–2.7 to –1.9)	1 (–0.6 to 2.5)	–3.1* (–4.0 to –2.1)	–0.2 (–0.6 to 0.2)	0.2 (–0.3 to 0.7)
Gallbladder and biliary tract	–1.6* (–2.3 to –0.9)	0 (–0.5 to 0.5)	2.0* (1.3 to 2.7)	–3.8* (–4.5 to –3.1)	–1.7* (–2.2 to –1.2)	0 (–0.7 to 0.7)
Leukemia	–0.6 (–1.3 to 0.0)	0.3 (–0.1 to 0.7)	1.5* (0.9 to 2.2)	0 (–0.5 to 0.5)	0.1 (–0.3 to 0.6)	1.4* (0.3 to 2.4)
Liver	–0.1 (–1.6 to 1.3)	2.5* (0.7 to 4.2)	1.0* (0.3 to 1.6)	–3.2* (–4.3 to –2.0)	–0.1 (–0.7 to 0.6)	2.5* (1.5 to 3.4)
Bladder	–0.2 (–1.3 to 0.9)	2.3* (1.9 to 2.7)	2.6* (2.1 to 3.0)	2.3* (2.0 to 2.6)	2.3* (2.0 to 2.6)	2.3* (2.0 to 2.6)
Larynx	–1.2* (–1.4 to –0.9)	–1.2* (–1.4 to –0.9)	–1.2* (–1.4 to –0.9)	–0.9 (–2.3 to 0.5)	1.1* (0.9 to 1.3)	1.1* (0.9 to 1.3)
Esophagus	–1.5* (–1.8 to –1.2)	–1.5* (–1.8 to –1.2)	–1.5* (–1.8 to –1.2)	1.4* (1.2 to 1.5)	1.4* (1.2 to 1.5)	1.4* (1.2 to 1.5)
Bones and joints	–8.0* (–12.8 to –2.9)	–5.0* (–9.6 to –0.3)	–2.4* (–4.4 to –0.2)	–2.1* (–2.9 to 1.3)	0.2 (–0.3 to 1.7)	1.4* (0.8 to 2.0)
Kidney	–0.6 (–1.5 to 0.3)	1.4* (1.1 to 1.8)	1.7* (1.3 to 2.1)	–3.8 (–8.3 to 0.8)	3.7* (1.2 to 6.2)	–0.4 (–1.7 to 1.0)
Prostate	–1.3* (–1.8 to –0.8)	0.6* (0.3 to 0.9)	3.0* (2.6 to 3.5)	–2.0* (–3.2 to 0.9)	0.4 (–0.3 to 1.0)	1.6* (0.8 to 2.4)
Testis	–0.3 (–1.2 to 0.6)	–0.3 (–1.2 to 0.6)	–0.3 (–1.2 to 0.6)	–1.7 (–3.5 to 0.1)	2.1* (1.4 to 2.8)	2.6* (1.8 to 3.3)
Brain	–2.6* (–4.1 to –1.0)	0.9* (0.3 to 1.6)	1.4* (0.6 to 2.1)	0.3 (–3.2 to 3.9)	1.0* (0.6 to 1.3)	1.0* (0.6 to 1.3)
Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	–0.2 (–1.1 to 0.7)	0.7* (0.1 to 1.3)	1.8* (0.9 to 2.6)	–0.4 (–5.0 to 4.3)	1.9* (1.3 to 2.6)	1.9* (1.3 to 2.6)
Hodgkin lymphoma	–8.7* (–11.6 to –5.7)	–1.2 (–3.0 to 0.7)	2.8* (0.2 to 5.5)	1.1* (0.5 to 1.6)	1.1* (0.5 to 1.6)	1.1* (0.5 to 1.6)
Multiple myeloma	–0.8 (–10.7 to 10.2)	1.5* (0.6 to 2.5)	1.5* (0.6 to 2.5)	–6.7* (–7.8 to –5.6)	–6.7* (–7.8 to –5.6)	–6.7* (–7.8 to –5.6)
Melanoma of skin	1.9* (1.5 to 2.2)	1.9* (1.5 to 2.2)	1.9* (1.5 to 2.2)			

Notes: Positive APC values represent increase while negative values decrease in yearly incidence rates. *APC is significantly different from zero at $p < 0.05$.

Abbreviations: APC, annual percent change; CI, confidence interval

Table 3 Ranking of incidence (A) and mortality (B) by expected percentages of the top 10 tumor types in males and females in 2020, 2025, and 2030

(A)				(B)				
Incidence				Mortality				
	Males	Females		Males	Females			
2020	Colorectal	16%	Breast	19%	Lung and bronchus	29%	Lung and bronchus	22%
	Lung and bronchus	16%	Colorectal	12%	Colorectal	17%	Colorectal	14%
	Prostate	14%	Lung and bronchus	11%	Prostate	8%	Breast	14%
	Bladder	7%	Corpus uteri	4%	Pancreas	6%	Pancreas	7%
	Oral cavity and pharynx	6%	Pancreas	4%	Oral cavity and pharynx	6%	Ovary	5%
	Kidney	4%	Ovary	3%	Stomach	5%	Stomach	4%
	Pancreas	4%	Melanoma of skin	3%	Bladder	4%	Leukemia	3%
	Melanoma of skin	3%	Bladder	3%	Liver	3%	Cervix uteri	3%
	Stomach	3%	Cervix uteri	3%	Leukemia	3%	Gallbladder and biliary tract	2%
	Leukemia	3%	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	3%	Kidney	3%	Kidney	2%
Other	24%	Other	35%	Other	17%	Other	24%	
2025	Colorectal	17%	Breast	20%	Lung and bronchus	28%	Lung and bronchus	23%
	Prostate	16%	Colorectal	12%	Colorectal	19%	Colorectal	14%
	Lung and bronchus	14%	Lung and bronchus	11%	Prostate	9%	Breast	14%
	Bladder	7%	Pancreas	4%	Pancreas	6%	Pancreas	7%
	Oral cavity and pharynx	6%	Corpus uteri	4%	Stomach	5%	Ovary	5%
	Kidney	4%	Melanoma of skin	3%	Oral cavity and pharynx	5%	Stomach	4%
	Pancreas	4%	Ovary	3%	Bladder	5%	Leukemia	3%
	Melanoma of skin	4%	Bladder	3%	Liver	3%	Cervix uteri	3%
	Stomach	3%	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	3%	Leukemia	3%	Kidney	2%
	Leukemia	3%	Kidney	3%	Kidney	3%	Gallbladder and biliary tract	2%
Other	23%	Other	34%	Other	15%	Other	23%	
2030	Colorectal	18%	Breast	20%	Lung and bronchus	27%	Lung and bronchus	23%
	Prostate	17%	Colorectal	12%	Colorectal	21%	Colorectal	14%
	Lung and bronchus	13%	Lung and bronchus	11%	Prostate	10%	Breast	13%
	Bladder	7%	Pancreas	4%	Pancreas	7%	Pancreas	7%
	Oral cavity and pharynx	5%	Corpus uteri	4%	Bladder	5%	Ovary	5%
	Kidney	4%	Melanoma of skin	3%	Stomach	5%	Stomach	4%
	Melanoma of skin	4%	Ovary	3%	Oral cavity and pharynx	4%	Leukemia	3%
	Pancreas	4%	Bladder	3%	Liver	4%	Cervix uteri	3%
	Leukemia	3%	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	3%	Leukemia	3%	Kidney	2%
	Stomach	3%	Soft tissue	3%	Kidney	3%	Bladder	2%
Other	22%	Other	34%	Other	12%	Other	24%	

Note: Incidence and mortality are expressed as mean values for each year.

When comparing cancer incidence to the 2010–2012 US data,¹⁶ the likelihood of developing LC and CRC was strikingly higher in both males and females, as was the probability of cancer risk over all sites, compared to the US (Table S3). The observed incidence rates of CRC, LC, oral cavity and pharynx cancer, bladder cancer, larynx cancer, melanoma, brain cancer, and testicular cancer were higher in Hungarian men compared to the 2012 estimated values of the worldwide male population, and the CRC, LC, pancreas cancer, melanoma, brain cancer, and kidney cancer incidence rates were greater among Hungarian women than the predicted rates of the worldwide female population. Similar trends persist when comparing cancer-related mortality: the percentage of men who died from

LC, CRC, oral cavity and pharynx cancer, pancreas cancer, and larynx cancer and the percentage of women who died from LC, CRC, and pancreas cancer were higher than the worldwide averages.

Discussion

Current scientific literature uses the yearly published prospective cancer incidence and mortality statistics of the USA as the gold standard for cancer epidemiology;¹⁶ the 2015 version of this report was already cited over 25,000 times. However, the age and demographic distribution of the US population is fundamentally different from other developed countries in Europe and Asia. These issues make the US data unreliable when projected to these countries.

Table 4 The five most common cancers estimated for 2020, 2025, and 2030 split by age and sex – incidence (A) and mortality (B)

		Age bins								
		0–19	20–39	40–59	60–79	80 +				
		Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage	Percentage				
2020, females	Leukemia	15.5	Breast	24.5	Breast	28.4	Breast	19	Colorectal	17.9
	Soft tissue	12.6	Melanoma	11.3	Lung and bronchus	10.5	Lung and bronchus	13.9	Breast	16.4
	Brain	9.7	Cervix uteri	10.4	Colorectal	7.9	Colorectal	13.3	Lung and bronchus	9
	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	6.3	Thyroid	8	Cervix uteri	5.4	Corpus uteri	4.5	Pancreas	5.9
2020, males	Kidney	5.5	Colorectal	5.3	Corpus uteri	4.7	Pancreas	4.2	Stomach	4.2
	Leukemia	20.4	Testis	27.8	Lung and bronchus	15.4	Lung and bronchus	17.6	Colorectal	18.9
	Brain	14	Melanoma	10.3	Colorectal	13.5	Colorectal	16.8	Prostate	18.5
	Soft tissue	13.6	Colorectal	6.2	Oral cavity and pharynx	11.2	Prostate	16.4	Lung and bronchus	11.7
2025, females	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	10	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	5.8	Kidney	5.3	Bladder	6.9	Bladder	8.6
	Bones and joints	9.6	Brain	5.4	Prostate	4.6	Oral cavity and pharynx	5.7	Stomach	4.9
	Leukemia	14.7	Breast	26.5	Breast	30	Breast	18.2	Breast	16.9
	Soft tissue	11.2	Melanoma	11.1	Lung and bronchus	8.4	Lung and bronchus	14.3	Colorectal	16.5
2025, males	Brain	8.1	Cervix uteri	10.6	Colorectal	7.7	Colorectal	12.9	Lung and bronchus	9.1
	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	6.9	Thyroid	8.7	Cervix uteri	5	Pancreas	4.5	Pancreas	6
	Kidney	5	Colorectal	5.7	Corpus uteri	4.9	Corpus uteri	4.4	Stomach	3.8
	Leukemia	19.4	Testis	28.1	Colorectal	14.5	Prostate	18.2	Prostate	20.2
2030, females	Soft tissue	14.2	Melanoma	11.8	Lung and bronchus	12.4	Colorectal	17.6	Colorectal	18.5
	Brain	13.8	Colorectal	6	Oral cavity and pharynx	9.6	Lung and bronchus	16.2	Lung and bronchus	10.9
	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	10.9	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	5.4	Kidney	5.7	Bladder	7.1	Bladder	8.6
	Bones and joints	10.1	Brain	5.1	Prostate	5.5	Oral cavity and pharynx	5.3	Melanoma	4.2
2030, males	Leukemia	13.6	Breast	25.8	Breast	31.1	Breast	17.2	Breast	16.6
	Soft tissue	11.2	Melanoma	11.4	Colorectal	7.7	Lung and bronchus	14	Colorectal	15.4
	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	8	Cervix uteri	10.9	Lung and bronchus	7.1	Colorectal	12.6	Lung and bronchus	10
	Brain	7.2	Thyroid	9.2	Corpus uteri	4.9	Pancreas	4.7	Pancreas	6
2030, males	Kidney	5.6	Colorectal	6	Melanoma	4.6	Corpus uteri	4.5	Bladder	3.8
	Leukemia	19.2	Testis	28.4	Colorectal	14.6	Prostate	19.6	Prostate	21.8
	Brain	15.5	Melanoma	13	Lung and bronchus	10.6	Colorectal	18.7	Colorectal	18.1
	Soft tissue	15.1	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	5.4	Oral cavity and pharynx	8.4	Lung and bronchus	15	Lung and bronchus	10.5
	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	12.8	Colorectal	5.2	Prostate	5.9	Bladder	7.3	Bladder	8.7
	Bones and joints	10.5	Brain	4.6	Kidney	23.7	Oral cavity and pharynx	4.9	Melanoma	4.4

(B)		Age bins								
	0-19	20-39	40-59	60-79	80 +	Percentage				
2020, females	Leukemia	28.6	Breast	24.7	Lung and bronchus	26.2	Lung and bronchus	26.2	Colorectal	19.1
	Brain	21.4	Cervix uteri	13.6	Breast	17.4	Colorectal	13.2	Breast	14
	Bones and joints	7.1	Colorectal	11.7	Colorectal	10.5	Breast	12.7	Lung and bronchus	13.2
2020, males	Brain	25	Brain	7.4	Ovary	6.2	Ovary	7.1	Pancreas	7.9
	Leukemia	25	Brain	14.6	Lung and bronchus	31.5	Lung and bronchus	32.1	Colorectal	21.1
	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	8.3	Leukemia	12.1	Colorectal	13.2	Colorectal	17.4	Lung and bronchus	18.2
	Bones and joints	5.6	Testis	10.2	Oral cavity and pharynx	11.8	Prostate	6.9	Prostate	15.8
				Lung and bronchus	8.9	Pancreas	6.1	Pancreas	5.8	Bladder
2025, females	Leukemia	28.6	Colorectal	8.9	Stomach	5	Oral cavity and pharynx	5.1	Stomach	6
	Brain	21.4	Breast	27.5	Lung and bronchus	22.6	Lung and bronchus	28	Colorectal	18.1
	Bones and joints	7.1	Cervix uteri	15.4	Breast	19.3	Colorectal	12.9	Lung and bronchus	14.6
			Colorectal	14.1	Colorectal	12	Breast	11.7	Breast	14.2
			Brain	9.4	Ovary	6.7	Pancreas	7.2	Pancreas	8.2
2025, males	Brain	29	Lung and bronchus	8.7	Cervix uteri	6.7	Ovary	4.7	Stomach	4.9
	Leukemia	25.8	Brain	16.9	Lung and bronchus	30	Lung and bronchus	31.5	Colorectal	21.7
	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	9.7	Leukemia	12	Colorectal	15.6	Colorectal	18.7	Lung and bronchus	18
	Bones and joints	6.5	Testis	9.2	Oral cavity and pharynx	9.3	Prostate	7.7	Prostate	16
			Colorectal	8.5	Pancreas	6.9	Pancreas	6.3	Bladder	6.8
2030, females	Leukemia	33.3	Lung and bronchus	7.7	Stomach	5.4	Oral cavity and pharynx	4.7	Pancreas	5.3
	Brain	18.5	Breast	28.6	Breast	26.2	Lung and bronchus	28.2	Colorectal	17
	Bones and joints	7.4	Colorectal	18	Lung and bronchus	17.4	Colorectal	12.9	Lung and bronchus	16.6
			Cervix uteri	15.8	Colorectal	10.5	Breast	11.1	Breast	13.8
			Brain	10.5	Ovary	6.7	Pancreas	7.4	Pancreas	8.1
2030, males	Brain	31	Lung and bronchus	9.8	Cervix uteri	6.2	Ovary	4.7	Stomach	4.7
	Leukemia	27.6	Brain	16.9	Lung and bronchus	31.5	Lung and bronchus	30.6	Colorectal	22.3
	Non-Hodgkin lymphoma	10.3	Leukemia	11	Colorectal	13.2	Colorectal	20.4	Lung and bronchus	17.9
	Bones and joints	6.9	Colorectal	8.8	Oral cavity and pharynx	11.8	Prostate	8.9	Prostate	16.8
			Testis	8.1	Pancreas	6.1	Pancreas	6.7	Bladder	7.2
		Lung and bronchus	7.4	Stomach	5	Stomach	4.9	Pancreas	5.6	

Notes: Incidence and mortality are expressed as mean values for each year split by age and sex. The most frequent cancer types are color-coded to facilitate comparison of incidence and mortality across age groups.

Another concern of statistical analyses is the ESP, for which the first update since 1976 was in 2013. This means that rates standardized to the previous ESP are hardly comparable with recent data due to the rapid demographic shifts. Central and Eastern European countries share a similar history, have undergone comparable shifts in demography, and are facing similar

challenges in their cancer epidemics. Within this region, with its 100% population coverage and relatively high autopsy rates, Hungarian statistics provide reliable data regarding trends in cancer epidemiology and the expected cancer rates for the near future, with the possibility of extrapolating projections for countries with similar trends in demography and cancer

Clinical Epidemiology downloaded from https://www.dovepress.com/ by 193.22.4.49.8 on 04-Sep-2018
For personal use only.




Figure 4 (Continued)




Figure 4 Observed and projected tendencies of incidence and mortality in selected cancers in females (A) and males (B).

Note: Solid lines are crude rates, dashed lines represent rates age-adjusted to the 2013 ESP (ASR ESP 2013).

Abbreviations: ASR, age-standardized rate; ESP, European Standard Population.

rates. However, our results cannot be generalized, although, in other countries of the European Union (e.g., France, Belgium, and Denmark), the overall cancer incidence estimates for 2012 surpassed those of Hungary, the expected mortality was predicted in some cases to be as much as 30% lower.³ The

discrepancy between per capita health expenditures between different countries may partially explain the disparity in expected mortality.¹⁷ The escalation of cancer incidence rates coupled with inadequate funding for health expenses in some countries could have grim consequences in the near future.

Cancer risk varies geographically, leading to a substantial variation across native and immigrant populations.¹⁸ Immigration rates differ between Western and Eastern parts of Europe considerably and are generally low in Hungary and in other Central and Eastern European countries as well. Studies on migration indicate that cancer rates among migrants and their descendants approach prevailing rates in the host country after they adopt the native lifestyle habits, including smoking, diet, and reproductive behaviors.¹⁹ Taking into account the generally low migration rate in Hungary, we did not include migration in our model as an independent factor.

Currently, the lifetime probability of developing cancer in Hungary is 56.9% in men and 51.9% in women. The cancer burden of Hungary is still growing – by 2030 the population is projected to shrink by 6%, yet an approximately 35% increase in cancer incidence and 30% in cancer-related mortality are expected among those 65–85 years old. Improving treatment may also result in increased cancer prevalence, as cancer patients may live longer with their disease. The prospective increase in extended cancer care may impose additional challenges on the health care system.

While ASRs of male cancer incidence and mortality are declining, female ASRs for both incidence and mortality show a rising trend. Of note, incidence and mortality trends do not necessarily reflect each other, especially in certain cancers with typically high cure rates, such as melanoma and prostate cancer. In cancers with a worse prognosis, such as pancreatic cancer, incidence and mortality are more closely associated.

Between 2001 and 2015, four cancer sites, the lung, colon, breast, and prostate, accounted for most new cases diagnosed. In Europe, among the 11 most common cancers, almost two-third are reported to be hypothetically preventable.²⁰ When adjusting these tumor types to sex and incidence, a stunning 77% of the cancer incidence in Hungarian men is made up of preventable malignancies.

Tobacco use is one of the major causes of preventable deaths.²¹ In addition to being responsible for approximately 87–91% of lung cancers in men and 57–86% in women,^{22–24} smoking has been linked to a dozen other cancers, including CRC and cancers of the pancreas, oral cavity and pharynx, bladder, and kidney. Although anti-smoking efforts achieved a fall in both smoking-related lung cancer incidence and mortality among men in the last two decades,²⁵ smoking prevalence remains at 28% in Europe.²⁶ Among Hungarian men, the extent of tobacco use started a steep decline in the 1990s, leading to a constant decrease in tobacco-related malignancies. This development will still lead to appreciable reduction of cancers of the lung, oral cavity, larynx, and

esophagus in the future (Figure 4B). However, the proportion of daily smokers has been increasing among women in Central and Eastern Europe.²⁷ Overall, lung cancer mortality in 2002–2012 in the Europe has increased by 17.5% among women.²⁸ According to a 2014 survey, 29% of the Hungarian population smoked, 28% of those regularly, and the prevalence of smoking increased among those aged 18–34 years. Among men, 42% of young adults (18–34 years of age) and 35% in middle age were smoking, while 29% and 27% of 18–34-year-old and 35–65-year-old women were smokers, respectively (Central Statistical Office of Hungary).²⁹ The soaring trends among young adults urge the resumption of anti-smoking campaigns aimed at vulnerable age groups.

The ASRs suggest an overall decline in both the incidence and mortality of stomach cancer among men and women. The pattern is comparable to steady decline of stomach cancer incidence and mortality of the past 40 years observed in Nordic countries (Finland, Denmark, Iceland, Norway, and Sweden) – the cause of this decline is still not fully understood.³⁰ Nevertheless, crude rates provide the maximum information for local health legislation, and particularly among Hungarian men, crude rates of stomach cancer are predicted to remain on a moderately increasing trend.

The European incidence of CRC is highest in the former Czechoslovakia and Hungary.^{3,31} In Hungary, the incidence of CRC is increasing and is projected to surpass LC. Mortality rates are predicted to rise at an even more alarming pace, especially among women and older generations. Although CRC may be asymptomatic for a long time, a third of mortality could be preventable by adopting the annual fecal occult-blood testing.³² Currently, of the 19 regions in Hungary, only four participate in an experimental model of biennial CRC screening, clearly insufficient to trigger a substantial change in the entire population. A chemo-preventive alternative would be the introduction of recommendations published by the US Preventive Services Task Force in 2015. In USA, regular use of aspirin has been described as a promising tool in CRC prevention when used in low daily doses for 10 years or longer in adults 50–59 years, and it may also be potentially effective among 60–69-year olds.³³

Alcohol consumption has been directly linked to the development of colorectal, oral cavity and pharynx, esophageal, liver, and female breast cancers,³⁴ and up to 9% of cancer incidence is the result of excess alcohol consumption in Europe.²⁷ Excessive drinking habits can predestine a population to a high occurrence of alcohol-related cancers. People in Central and Eastern Europe, and particularly in Hungary, drink more alcohol per capita compared to the

rest of Europe, and among women, the highest proportion of alcohol consumption has been recorded in Hungary.³⁵

High body mass index (BMI), defined by WHO as BMI >25 kg/m², is associated with increased risk of cancer and continues to rise all across Europe.²⁷ The prevalence of overweight and obesity is increasing in Hungary: according to a 2014 study, 40.4% of adult males and 31.3% of females were overweight. Moreover, 32% of men and 31.5% of women were obese, and one-third of young men under 35 years of age already carried excess weight.³⁶ Investigating 30 European countries, 2.5% of men and 4.1% of women cancer cases were attributable to excess BMI, mainly endometrial, breast, and colorectal cancers.³⁷ Strong associations have also been found between high BMI and the risks of renal, esophageal adenocarcinoma, and thyroid cancers in men and esophageal adenocarcinoma, renal, and gallbladder cancers in women.³⁸

Strikingly, upgrading the European reference population from the 1976 ESP to the most recent 2013 ESP increased ASRs dramatically, to up to 68%. Thus, many cancer cases were hidden due to the utilization of an outdated reference population. Moreover, the difference in almost all cancer types warns against the extrapolation of USA-based cancer epidemiology data to other countries, as the demographic difference renders USA data unreliable for Europe. Each country, or at least each continental region, should employ an updated reference population to better estimate the number of cases.

Limitation

A limitation of our study is the relative short follow-up compared, especially, to that from Nordic countries, where the follow-up started over 70 years ago (e.g., the Danish Cancer Registry was founded in 1942).³⁹ However, despite this limitation, our study is the first to provide a comprehensive survey and prediction for the next 15 years by incorporating data obtained from different sources.

Conclusion

In summary, we present a state-of-the-art snapshot of cancer morbidity and mortality in Hungary. We corrected for biases that were present in previous analyses, including the inappropriate reference population and unsuitable standardizations. The updated demographic trends forecast a significant increase in crude rates of cancer incidence over the next 15 years in Hungary. Our corrected estimates of cancer burden show the need for immediate and intensive prevention programs and targeted anticancer actions. Our projections may

be suitably extrapolated to countries with similar predicaments in economics, demography, and cancer burden.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office (Nemzeti Kutatási, Fejlesztési és Innovációs Hivatal), Hungary (grant number NVKP_16-1-2016-0037).

Disclosure

The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

References

1. United Nations, DESA/Populations Division. World Population Prospects: the 2015 Revision. Available from: <https://esa.un.org/unpd/wpp/Publications/>. 2015. Accessed February 1, 2017.
2. Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. *Int J Cancer*. 2015;136(5):E359–E386.
3. Ferlay J, Steliarova-Foucher E, Lortet-Tieulent J, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality patterns in Europe: estimates for 40 countries in 2012. *Eur J Cancer*. 2013;49(6):1374–1403.
4. Dusek L, Muzik J, Maluskova D, et al. Cancer incidence and mortality in the Czech Republic. *Klin Onkol*. 2014;27(6):406–423.
5. Turnbull A, Osborn M, Nicholas N. Hospital autopsy: endangered or extinct? *J Clin Pathol*. 2015;68(8):601–604.
6. Eurostat. Revision of the European Standard Population. Report of Eurostat's task force. Luxembourg: Publications Office of the European Union; 2013.
7. World Health Organization. *ICD-10: international statistical classification of diseases and related health problems: tenth revision*. 2nd ed. Geneva: World Health Organization. Available from: <http://www.who.int/iris/handle/10665/42980>. Accessed February 1, 2017.
8. Møller B, Fekjaer H, Hakulinen T, et al. Prediction of cancer incidence in the Nordic countries: empirical comparison of different approaches. *Stat Med*. 2003;22(17):2751–2766.
9. Holford TR. The estimation of age, period and cohort effects for vital rates. *Biometrics*. 1983;39(2):311–324.
10. Møller B, Weedon-Fekjaer H, Haldorsen T. Empirical evaluation of prediction intervals for cancer incidence. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2005;5:21.
11. Furukawa T, Fujisaki R, Yoshida Y, et al. Distinct progression pathways involving the dysfunction of DUSP6/MKP-3 in pancreatic intraepithelial neoplasia and intraductal papillary-mucinous neoplasms of the pancreas. *Mod Pathol*. 2005;18(8):1034–1042.
12. Kim HJ, Fay MP, Feuer EJ, Midthune DN. Permutation tests for joinpoint regression with applications to cancer rates. *Stat Med*. 2000;19(3):335–351.
13. Martin-Castillo B, Lopez-Bonet E, Cuyàs E, et al. Cancer stem cell-driven efficacy of trastuzumab (Herceptin): towards a reclassification of clinically HER2-positive breast carcinomas. *Oncotarget*. 2015;6(32):32317–32338.
14. Fay MP, Pfeiffer R, Cronin KA, Le C, Feuer EJ. Age-conditional probabilities of developing cancer. *Stat Med*. 2003;22(11):1837–1848.
15. Fay MP. Estimating age conditional probability of developing disease from surveillance data. *Popul Health Metr*. 2004;2(1):6.
16. Siegel RL, Miller KD, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2016. *CA Cancer J Clin*. 2016;66(1):7–30.
17. Jönsson B, Hofmarcher T, Lindgren P, Wilking N. The cost and burden of cancer in the European Union 1995–2014. *Eur J Cancer*. 2016;66:162–170.

18. Arnold M, Razum O, Coebergh JW. Cancer risk diversity in non-western migrants to Europe: an overview of the literature. *Eur J Cancer*. 2010;46(14):2647–2659.
19. Stanford JL, Herrinton LJ, Schwartz SM, Weiss NS. Breast cancer incidence in Asian migrants to the United States and their descendants. *Epidemiology*. 1995;6(2):181–183.
20. Soerjomataram I, de Vries E, Pukkala E, Coebergh JW. Excess of cancers in Europe: a study of eleven major cancers amenable to lifestyle change. *Int J Cancer*. 2007;120(6):1336–1343.
21. WHO. IARC monographs on the evaluation of carcinogenic risk to humans. Tobacco smoke and involuntary smoking. Lyon, France: International Agency for Research on Cancer; 2004. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK316407/>. Accessed February 1, 2017.
22. Parkin DM, Pisani P, Lopez AD, Masuyer E. At least one in seven cases of cancer is caused by smoking. Global estimates for 1985. *Int J Cancer*. 1994;59(4):494–504.
23. Olsen JH, Andersen A, Dreyer L, et al. Summary of avoidable cancers in the Nordic countries. *APMIS Suppl*. 1997;76:141–146.
24. Dela Cruz CS, Tanoue LT, Matthay RA. Lung cancer: epidemiology, etiology, and prevention. *Clin Chest Med*. 2011;32(4):605–644.
25. Malvezzi M, Bosetti C, Rosso T, et al. Lung cancer mortality in European men: trends and predictions. *Lung Cancer*. 2013;80(2):138–145.
26. WHO. World Health Organization: Tobacco Fact Sheet; 2014. Available from: http://www.wpro.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs_201203_tobacco/en/. Accessed February 1, 2017.
27. Martin-Moreno JM, Soerjomataram I, Magnusson G. Cancer causes and prevention: a condensed appraisal in Europe in 2008. *Eur J Cancer*. 2008;44(10):1390–1403.
28. Malhotra J, Malvezzi M, Negri E, La Vecchia C, Boffetta P. Risk factors for lung cancer worldwide. *Eur Respir J*. 2016;48(3):889–902.
29. Monostori J, Őri P, Spéder Z, editors: *Demográfiai portré 2015* [Demographic portrait 2015]. Budapest: KSH NKI; 2015.
30. Klint A, Engholm G, Storm HH, et al. Trends in survival of patients diagnosed with cancer of the digestive organs in the Nordic countries 1964–2003 followed up to the end of 2006. *Acta Oncol*. 2010;49(5):578–607.
31. Plesko I, Boyle GS, Ondrusova M, Tomasek L, Kubik A. Dominant position of colorectal cancer in Slovakia: the old-new problem for cancer control. *Neoplasma*. 2008;55(1):10–15.
32. Mandel JS, Bond JH, Church TR, et al. Reducing mortality from colorectal cancer by screening for fecal occult blood. *N Engl J Med*. 1993;328(19):1365–1371.
33. Louis DN, Ohgaki H, Wiestler OD, et al. The 2007 WHO classification of tumours of the central nervous system. *Acta Neuropathol*. 2007;114(2):97–109.
34. Rehm J, Baliunas D, Borges GL, et al. The relation between different dimensions of alcohol consumption and burden of disease: an overview. *Addiction*. 2010;105(5):817–843.
35. Popova S, Rehm J, Patra J, Zatonski W. Comparing alcohol consumption in central and eastern Europe to other European countries. *Alcohol Alcohol*. 2007;42(5):465–473.
36. Rurik I, Torzsa P, Szidor J, et al. A public health threat in Hungary: obesity, 2013. *BMC Public Health*. 2014;14:798.
37. Renehan AG, Soerjomataram I, Tyson M, et al. Incident cancer burden attributable to excess body mass index in 30 European countries. *Int J Cancer*. 2010;126(3):692–702.
38. Renehan AG, Tyson M, Egger M, Heller RF, Zwahlen M. Body-mass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies. *Lancet*. 2008;371(9612):569–578.
39. Engholm G, Ferlay J, Christensen N, et al. NORDCAN—a Nordic tool for cancer information, planning, quality control and research. *Acta Oncol*. 2010;49(5):725–736.

Clinical Epidemiology

Publish your work in this journal

Clinical Epidemiology is an international, peer-reviewed, open access, online journal focusing on disease and drug epidemiology, identification of risk factors and screening procedures to develop optimal preventative initiatives and programs. Specific topics include: diagnosis, prognosis, treatment, screening, prevention, risk factor modification,

systematic reviews, risk and safety of medical interventions, epidemiology and biostatistical methods, and evaluation of guidelines, translational medicine, health policies and economic evaluations. The manuscript management system is completely online and includes a very quick and fair peer-review system, which is all easy to use.

Submit your manuscript here: <https://www.dovepress.com/clinical-epidemiology-journal>

Dovepress